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Abstract

Traumatic lacerations to the skin are problems frequently seen and treated by emergency centers around the world. Among all wounds, dog and cat bites are commonly seen. As in many mammals, different species of microorganisms are found in dog and cat mouths with a potential pathological effect to humans, as represented by rabies. The injuries have disfiguration effect with possible psychological repercussion to the patient. This article aimed presenting up to date considerations regarding the management of animal bite injuries to the face, exemplified by a case report that should be the interest of all professions that deal with facial tissues, as dentists do.
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Introduction

Traumatic lesions to the skin are a major problem faced by emergency centers around the world, comprehending around 11 millions attendances yearly. Among these, it is estimated that one to two millions of cases are caused by dog and cat bites. Although correct statistics are not known due to the lack of compulsory report of such injuries, their importance and repercussion should not be underestimated¹-⁵.

Dogs, cats, horses, rats and rabbits are animals usually reported as the ones to cause bite in humans⁶. Dogs are responsible for 60% to 80% of such injuries whereas cats respond for 20% to 40%⁷. Superior extremities are afflicted in 45% of cases, lower limbs 25% and the head and neck region in 22% of situations; the face itself might be affected in 10% to 15% cases², ⁷, ⁹. Children are the most common victims (around 50% of occasions), mainly due to provocative behavior displayed by them or because of their low stature, which exposes their face closer to animal’s reach³, ¹⁰-¹².

Injuries caused by animal bites to the face can cause injuries to soft and hard tissues, presented by perforations, lacerations, crushes, avulsion or fractures⁹. An uncountable number of bacteria and virus can be found in such injuries, a reason for a concern regarding infections⁷.

Infected lesions involving noble structures are the causative of about 15 deaths yearly in the United Sates of America¹³-¹⁴. Another problem associated to animal bite injuries is the transmission of human rabies. Over 55,000 cases of human rabies transmitted by dogs occur each year worldwide, which is an endemic problem in Asia and in Africa¹⁴.
Treatment of animal bite lesions consists mainly in adequate wound cleaning in order to diminish the risk of infection as well as the administration of efficient antibiotics. This article aimed presenting up-to-date considerations regarding the management of animal bite injuries to the face, exemplified by a case report treated by oral and maxillofacial surgeons. This knowledge should be the interest of all professions that deal with facial tissues, as dentists do.

Case Report

A Caucasian six-year-old male patient presented to evaluation by the Oral and Maxillofacial service of the Emergency Hospital of the city of Cuiaba, Brazil. He and his parents reported a facial bite caused by their own dog (regularly vaccinated) one hour before. Patient had no neurological/behavioral alterations related to the trauma or history of systemic disease and drug allergies.

Primary evaluation revealed that the patient was lucid, interacting to the emergency team, hemodynamic stable, with normal vital signs. Facial examination displayed some abrasion, a laceration involving the right cheek and a laceration of the left labial region that went through the lower labial skin to the mucosa detaching the ipsilateral labial commissure (Figure 1 B). Parotid duct seemed not to be affected during evaluation; no bone or dental fractures were observed (Figure 1 B).

To the complexity of the soft tissue injuries and patient’s age, management of the wounds was accomplished under general anesthesia. After proper facial disinfection and preparation of the surgical field with sterile surgical drapes, the wounds were thoroughly irrigated with saline, minor debridement was performed and sutures were accomplished under meticulous care (5.0 polyglycolic acid absorbable sutures for the muscle and oral mucosa; 6.0 nylon for skin (Figure 2 A and B).

Human rabies prevention was accomplished at the same day and three days later, as the aggressor animal (family’s dog) was regularly vaccinated. Tetanus prophylaxis was not performed as the patient had recent immunization, according to the national immunization calendar. Amoxicillin associated to clavulanic acid was the choice for antibiotic regimen for seven days. Follow up of the patient did not reveal any sign of infection. The patient lost later follow up and then showed up one year later (Figure 3 A, B and C), demonstrating the absence of any impairment to the facial region other than a hypertrophic scar for which his parents refused further treatment at that moment.

Discussion

Fig. 1: facial wounds properly exposed and cleaned under general anesthesia. Right cheek laceration (A) and a laceration of the left labial region through the lower labial skin to the mucosa, detaching the ipsilateral labial commissure (B).
Most of animal bite injuries are caused by animals of close association to the victims, frequently found to be children. This type of lesions carries an infection potential and possible psychological harmful effect due to esthetic consequences. Epidemiological data indicate that animal bites are prone to occur while patients are at home, during weekends, mostly associated with summer months.

Initial management is accomplished by a detailed history of the trauma itself and information of patient’s medical history including vaccines and immunization profile. Diagnosis is performed by a thorough clinical examination, with attention to noble structures as vessels, nerves, salivary glands and ducts, articularizations, tendons, cartilage, teeth and bones. Vulnerable areas of the face are eyelids, lips and nose. Some injuries may require surgical flaps or skin grafts for adequate closure. Image exams might help to exclude fractures or foreign bodies associated to the wounds.

Careful cleaning of the wound helps reducing the risk of infection; copious irrigation with saline diminishes the number of microorganisms associated to the wounds without healing process harmful effect. Iodine solution at 1% might be used as well, presenting good bactericide action and low cellular toxicity. Debridement is a common practice of such type of injuries but should be performed with caution not to sacrifice viable tissue, mainly in esthetic areas as the red lip, nose and eyelids. When proper accomplished, debridement might reduce significantly the incidence of infection. At the past, surgical treatment used to be delayed and secondary intention wound healing was commonly seen. That management was believed to be safer as infection was believed to happen after primary closure. Nowadays, it is well known that even after few hours of the trauma, the facial region is richly vascularized so proper wound management and primary closures are possible. When different tissues such skin, muscle and oral mucosa are closed with appropriate material, better esthetic results are obtained and major secondary reconstruction interventions can be avoided.

The incidence of infection associated to animal bite injuries vary from 5% to 30%. Infections after primary closure at the head and neck region, when associated with adequate antibiotic coverage, occur in 1% of cases. Common microorganisms found in such wounds are *Pasteurella, Staphylococcus Aureus, Streptococcus* and *Pasteurella Multocida*, mainly in a polymicrobial pattern. Dog bites also carry the concern of human rabies and tetanus infection since this animal is responsible for 90% of transmission for such diseases in Brazil. Human rabies is an infectious disease of viral origin that can be transmitted by minimum contact and promoting acute encephalitis.
with a mortality rate near to 100%.\textsuperscript{14} There is no specific treatment for such condition after its onset, being a palliative support the only option. Tetanus prevention in children might not be necessary in a child that follows an immunization calendar that covers such condition; adults should have vaccination reinforcement there is a five-year gap since last\textsuperscript{29}. Injuries caused by cats may present different patterns as their sharp teeth promote a small but deep wound that might allow bacterial growth. On the other hand, dog bites usually present as crush injuries associated with lacerations, especially for bigger dogs\textsuperscript{8, 16, 25}. As expected in other injuries or surgical procedures related to the oral cavity, elimination of possible local infection is paramount and should be among the expertise of dentists\textsuperscript{29-30}. Current literature supports the administration of antibiotics in cases of animal bite injuries. The first option antibiotic should be effective against β-lactamase producing bacteria such as commonly seen by \textit{Staphylococcus Aureus} and \textit{Prevotella}. Penicillin derivatives associated with a β-lactamase inhibitor such as the clavulanic acid are usually the first choice but the inhibitor may also be found associated to a cephalosporin\textsuperscript{3, 7-9, 26, 31}. Azithromycin can be considered for patients with penicillin allergic reaction or during\textsuperscript{8-9}.

**Conclusion**

Proper wound management consisting in thorough irrigation and careful debridement are important to diminish the risk of infection after primary closure, which should be considered for animal bite injuries to the face. The adoption of such protocol might promote good results without the need for major reconstruction procedures, as performed in the past. The adoption of human rabies and tetanus prevention as well as the prescription of appropriate antibiotic coverage will allow uneventful local and systemic outcome. Health professions such dentists, especially those that deal with the maxillofacial region, should be aware of the adequate management of these wounds as patients might be referred to their evaluation and treatment.
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